

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/074,976	HARIRI, ROBERT J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1633

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(3) _____.

(2) Lawrence Graham, Appl Rep.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)


Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
possible art rejection
Claims discussed:
55, 58, 59
Prior art documents discussed:
N/A
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On July 12, the examiner called to indicate product claims may encompass a human placenta because the tissue matrix could be a placenta, and the stem cells are naturally present in a human placenta. The applicant's rep. agreed to consult applicant to make a proper amendment. On July 19, Mr. Graham proposed to insert "decellularized" before tissue matrix and the changes will be made by an examiner's amendment.